

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/773,250	02/09/2004	Michael J. Alberts	2270-002US 7382		
27522 SEAN W. GOO	7590 02/13/2007	2007 EXAMINER			
222 PARKSID	E PLACE		GARCIA, ERNESTO		
602-12 AVEN CALGARY, A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
CANADA			3679		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/773,250 ALBERTS, MICHAEL J. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Ernesto Garcia 3679 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Ernesto Garcia. (2) Sean W. Goodwin. Date of Interview: 08 February 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Lape, 257,168. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed amendments to claim 1. The examiner reviewed the changes and commented that the changes merely recite the operation of the module and that the changes need to present structural limitations to overcome Lape. Lape was then analyzed. Mr. Goodwin presented the argument that Lape presents two horizontal members and that it would be impossible to stack. The examiner explained that the claim is open-ended and that other components are not excluded from being present. The examiner suggested to either present the claim in closed end form to exclude other components. The examiner also suggested language to overcome the reference. The examiner suggested that the vertical member of each of the two end supports be recited so that the vertical member is perpendicular to the horizontal member of each of the two end supports.

GOODWIN MCKAY

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: 10 773 250 First Named Applican Examiner: ERNESTO GARCIA Art Unit: 2679	n: <u>MICHAE</u> Status of App	L J. ALB plication: Pe	ERTS NDING			
Tentative Participants: (1) SEAN W. GCODWIN (2)						
(3)(4)	·					
Proposed Date of Interview: FEB 8/07	Proposed T	ime: Q:00	(AM(PM)			
Type of Interview Requested: (I) [1] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference						
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [YES If yes, provide brief description:	MNO					
Issues To Be Discussed						
Issues Claims! (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1) REJ 1-7,9-11,13-18 Ant /	N	H				
(2)	[]	[]	[]			
(3)	[]	[]	[]			
(4)	[]	[]	[]			
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: <u>CLAIM STELLCTURE FOR CLAIMING A SINGLE HODILLE - PROPOSE</u> TWO PESMONS						
ONE FOR FORLE LIGHT AND ONE FOR STALK	NG FOR TR	ANSPORT.				
BLAFT ATTAZHED - PROPOSED CLAIM!		2/0/				
An interview was conducted on the above-identified applica NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submit (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of application. Interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the	ted to the exami nt's failure to so	bmit a written	record of this			
Applicant/Applicant/s Representative Signature	Emesto.	aree	à			
Applicant/SPE Signature SEAN W. GOODWIN						
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative						
Registration Number, if amplicable						

This effection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.33. The information is required to children recide a breefit by the public which is to the fact by the DSTO to process) as application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 112 and 37 CFR 1.31 and 3.14. This collection is collected to take 21 minutes to complete, lockuling gathering, preparing, and asherlifting the completed application form to the USFTO. Then will vary depending upon the incivition icas. Any comments on the encount of time you require to complete this form and/or arguments for the child information Officer, U.S. Peterst and Tradecase Diffice, U.S. Department of Constants, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 23213-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 23313-1450.

1. (Currently amended) A unitary, stackable fence module

comprising:

two end supports being spaced apart for forming an inside the rebetween, each end support having a substantially vertical member connected at a lower end to a first end of a horizontal member, the vertical and horizontal members being in the same plane, and an angle arm connected between an inside of the upper end of the substantially vertical member and an inside of a second end of the horizontal member; and

a plurality of span members connected between the angle arm of each

end support for spacing the and supports of the fence module apart,

wherein when the fence module is oriented for support on the span members for storage or transport, the span members and angle arms of a like fence module are stackable in nested arrangement inside and between the end supports of the fence module.

1. (Proposed) A unitary, stackable fence module comprising:

two end supports being spaced apart for forming an inside therebetween, each end support having a substantially vertical member connected at a lower end to a first end of a horizontal member, the vertical and horizontal members being in the same plane, and an angle arm connected between an inside of the upper end of the substantially vertical member and an inside of a second end of the horizontal member; and

a plurality of span members connected between the angle arm of each end support for spacing the end supports of the fence module apart,

PAGE 3/3 * RCVD AT 2/6/2007 5:34:37 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/4 * DNIS:2737083 * CSID:403 203 0403 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-22

the unitary, stackable fence module being positionable between two positions,

a first operative position wherein the fence module is supported on the horizontal member for forming a fence; and

a second stacking position wherein the fence module is supported on the span members for stacking for storage or transport, an identical fence module being stackable in nested arrangement therewith.

OR

a second stacking position wherein the fence module is supported on the span members, the module adapted for receiving an identical module in nested arrangement wherein span members of the identical module pass between the end supports of the module.